

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation: JAN-MAY 2020

Employee ID: 008505 Faculty Name: KRISHNA JAGANNATHAN

Course No :EE6150 Course Name : Stochastic Modeling and the Theory

of Queues

Responses / Regn: 12/21 Department: Electrical Engineering

Summary											
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean					
Course	0.97	0.98	0.04	0.02	0.86	0.84					
Instructor	0.93	0.96	0.07	0.04	0.88	0.86					

Question-Wise Response												
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean				
1	8	4	0	0	0	0	0.93	0.86				
2	11	1	0	0	0	0	0.98	0.85				
3	11	0	1	0	0	0	0.97	0.88				
4	8	2	2	0	0	0	0.90	0.85				
5	8	4	0	0	0	0	0.93	0.86				
6	7	5	0	0	0	0	0.92	0.88				
7	6	2	4	0	0	0	0.83	0.83				
8	9	3	0	0	0	0	0.95	0.87				
9	11	1	0	0	0	0	0.98	0.87				
10	10	2	0	0	0	0	0.97	0.84				
11	9	2	1	0	0	0	0.93	0.84				
12	10	2	0	0	0	0	0.97	0.78				
13	10	2	0	0	0	0	0.97	0.83				
14	12	0	0	0	0	0	1.00	0.86				

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list

- 1. The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
- 2. The concepts of the course were communicated well
- 3. The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
- 4. The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
- 5. The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
- 6. The instructor was willing and available for help outside the class if required
- 7. The instructor took interest in monitoring the progress of the students throughout the course

- 8. The instructor encouraged student-teacher interaction and other relevant learning activities in the class when required

 9. The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
- 9. The instructor was purictual and followed the class schedule closely
- 10.The course was planned and structured well
- 11. The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
- 12. The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the course
- 13. Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
- 14. Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 9 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 10 to 14 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

Good

Very nice course. It would be great if there was a build up in difficulty of problems because the problems in the book were pretty hard.

This course helped me to think of the modeling of the system with subtle randomness. Stochastic modelling helps me to imagine the future behaviour of any system having random outcomes

The instructor covered most topics in detail. The examples covered in the class helped develop intuition. Unlike many other courses, the TAs were actually very helpful. Considering the fact that this is a Grad level course, having a small component(~10%) for project/simulation/paper review could have enhanced the learning experience.

Taking with course with prof. Krishna Jagannathan is an amazing experience. I believe this course has motivated all of us towards research in queuing theory. Part of the course content is really hard and it wouldnt be easy for us to understand without such a clear explanation given by the professor. Overall, its a good course and must be taken course for all who is willing to do research in wireless scheduling and information theory. Irrespective of the pandemic, the course went really well.

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given